CNN applications

Samuel Cheng
(Slide credits: Fei-Fei Li, Andrej Karpathy, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung)

School of ECE
University of Oklahoma

Spring, 2017

Overview

- We will look into several applications of CNNs besides image recognition
  - Semantic segmentation
  - Object localization
  - Object detection
  - Instance segmentation

So far: Image Classification

This image is CC0 public domain



Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Vector: 4096

Fully-Connected: 4096 to 1000

Class Scores
Cat: 0.9
Dog: 0.05
Car: 0.01
...

Other Computer Vision Tasks

Semantic Segmentation



GRASS, CAT, TREE, SKY

Classification + Localization



CAT

Object Detection



DOG, DOG, CAT

Instance Segmentation



DOG, DOG, CAT

No objects, just pixels

Single Object

Multiple Object

Semantic Segmentation



GRASS, CAT, TREE, SKY

CAT

DOG, DOG, CAT

DOG, DOG, CAT

No objects, just pixels

Single Object

Multiple Object

Semantic Segmentation

Label each pixel in the image with a category label

Don't differentiate instances, only care about pixels





Semantic Segmentation Idea: Sliding Window



Farabet et al, "Learning Hierarchical Features for Scene Labeling," TPAMI 2013
Pinheiro and Collobert, "Recurrent Convolutional Neural Networks for Scene Labeling", ICML 2014

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Sliding Window



Problem: Very inefficient! Not reusing shared features between overlapping patches

Farabet et al, "Learning Hierarchical Features for Scene Labeling," TPAMI 2013
Pinheiro and Collobert, "Recurrent Convolutional Neural Networks for Scene Labeling", ICML 2014

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

Design a network as a bunch of convolutional layers
to make predictions for pixels all at once!



Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

Design a network as a bunch of convolutional layers
to make predictions for pixels all at once!



Problem: convolutions at original image resolution will be very expensive ...

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

Design network as a bunch of convolutional layers, with **downsampling** and **upsampling** inside the network!

Input:
3 x H x W



High-res:
D_1 x H/2 x W/2

High-res:
D_1 x H/2 x W/2

Predictions:
H x W

Long, Shelhamer, and Darrell, "Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation", CVPR 2015
Noh et al, "Learning Deconvolution Network for Semantic Segmentation", ICCV 2015

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

Downsampling:
Pooling, strided convolution

Design network as a bunch of convolutional layers, with **downsampling** and **upsampling** inside the network!

Upsampling:
???

Input:
3 x H x W



Predictions:
H x W

Long, Shelhamer, and Darrell, “Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation”, CVPR 2015
Noh et al, “Learning Deconvolution Network for Semantic Segmentation”, ICCV 2015

In-Network upsampling: “Unpooling”

Nearest Neighbor

1	2
3	4

Input: 2 x 2

1	1	2	2
1	1	2	2
3	3	4	4
3	3	4	4

Output: 4 x 4

“Bed of Nails”

1	2
3	4

Input: 2 x 2

1	0	2	0
0	0	0	0
3	0	4	0
0	0	0	0

Output: 4 x 4

In-Network upsampling: "Max Unpooling"

Max Pooling
Remember which element was max!

1	2	6	3
3	5	2	1
1	2	2	1
7	3	4	8

Input: 4 x 4

→

5	6
7	8

Output: 2 x 2

→ … →

Rest of the network

Max Unpooling
Use positions from pooling layer

1	2
3	4

Input: 2 x 2

→

0	0	2	0
0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0
3	0	0	4

Output: 4 x 4

Corresponding pairs of downsampling and upsampling layers



Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

Recall: Typical 3 x 3 convolution, stride 1 pad 1



Input: 4 x 4



Output: 4 x 4

Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

Recall: Normal 3 x 3 convolution, stride 1 pad 1



Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

Recall: Normal 3 x 3 convolution, stride 1 pad 1



Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

Recall: Normal 3 x 3 convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Input: 4 x 4



Output: 2 x 2

Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

Recall: Normal 3 x 3 convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

Recall: Normal 3 x 3 convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Input: 4 x 4

Output: 2 x 2

Filter moves 2 pixels in the input for every one pixel in the output

Stride gives ratio between movement in input and output

Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

3 x 3 **transpose** convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Input: 2 x 2



Output: 4 x 4

Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

3 x 3 **transpose** convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution



Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

3 x 3 **transpose** convolution, stride 2 pad 1

Sum where output overlaps



Input: 2 x 2

Output: 4 x 4

Filter moves 2 pixels in the <u>output</u> for every one pixel in the <u>input</u>

Stride gives ratio between movement in output and input

Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

Other names:
-Deconvolution (bad)
-Upconvolution
-Fractionally strided convolution
-Backward strided convolution

3 x 3 **transpose** convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Filter moves 2 pixels in the output for every one pixel in the input

Stride gives ratio between movement in output and input

Transpose Convolution: 1D Example



Output contains copies of the filter weighted by the input, summing at where at overlaps in the output

Need to crop one pixel from output to make output exactly 2x input

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

Downsampling: Pooling, strided convolution

Design network as a bunch of convolutional layers, with **downsampling** and **upsampling** inside the network!

Upsampling: Unpooling or strided transpose convolution

Input:
3 x H x W



Predictions:
H x W

Long, Shelhamer, and Darrell, “Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation”, CVPR 2015
Noh et al, “Learning Deconvolution Network for Semantic Segmentation”, ICCV 2015

U-Net



1
64
64
input image tile
572 x 572
570 x 570
568 x 568
128
128
284^2
282^2
280^2
256
256
140^2
138^2
136^2
512
512
68^2
66^2
64^2
1024
32^2
30^2
28^2
1024
512
56^2
54^2
52^2
512
256
104^2
102^2
100^2
256
128
200^2
198^2
196^2
128
64
64
2
392 x 392
390 x 390
388 x 388
388 x 388
output segmentation map
conv 3x3, ReLU
copy and crop
max pool 2x2
up-conv 2x2
conv 1x1

U-Net



Fig. 4. Result on the ISBI cell tracking challenge. (**a**) part of an input image of the "PhC-U373" data set. (**b**) Segmentation result (cyan mask) with manual ground truth (yellow border) (**c**) input image of the "DIC-HeLa" data set. (**d**) Segmentation result (random colored masks) with manual ground truth (yellow border).

Dice Coefficient

- Dice Coefficient is a similarity measure for two sets.
- Given sets A and B, the Dice Coefficient is defined as:

$$\mathrm{Dice}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}) = \frac{2|\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B}|}{|\mathrm{A}| + |\mathrm{B}|}$$

- It ranges from 0 (no overlap) to 1 (perfect overlap).

Dice Loss

- Dice Loss is derived from the Dice Coefficient and used as a loss function for segmentation tasks.
- The Dice Loss for predicted segmentation P and ground truth segmentation G is defined as:
$$\text{DiceLoss}(P, G) = 1 - \text{Dice}(P, G)$$
- Lower values of Dice Loss indicate better overlap between predicted and ground truth segmentations.

Classification + Localization



GRASS, CAT, TREE, SKY — No objects, just pixels

CAT — Single Object

DOG, DOG, CAT / DOG, DOG, CAT — Multiple Object

Classification + Localization



Fully Connected: 4096 to 1000

Class Scores
Cat: 0.9
Dog: 0.05
Car: 0.01
...

Vector: 4096

Fully Connected: 4096 to 4

Box Coordinates
(x, y, w, h)

Treat localization as a regression problem!

Classification + Localization

This image is CC0 public domain



Vector: 4096

Fully Connected: 4096 to 1000

Class Scores
Cat: 0.9
Dog: 0.05
Car: 0.01
...

Correct label:
Cat

Softmax Loss

Fully Connected: 4096 to 4

Box Coordinates
(x, y, w, h)

L2 Loss

Correct box:
(x', y', w', h')

Treat localization as a regression problem!

Classification + Localization



Vector: 4096

Fully Connected: 4096 to 1000

Class Scores
Cat: 0.9
Dog: 0.05
Car: 0.01
...

Correct label: Cat

Softmax Loss

Fully Connected: 4096 to 4

Box Coordinates (x, y, w, h)

Correct box: (x', y', w', h')

L2 Loss

Multitask Loss

+ → **Loss**

Treat localization as a regression problem!

Classification + Localization

Correct label:
Cat

Class Scores
Cat: 0.9
Dog: 0.05
Car: 0.01
...

Softmax
Loss

Fully
Connected:
4096 to 1000

This image is CC0 public domain



Often pretrained on ImageNet
(Transfer learning)

Vector:
4096

Fully
Connected:
4096 to 4

Box
Coordinates
(x, y, w, h)

L2 Loss

+ Loss

Correct box:
(x', y', w', h')

Treat localization as a
regression problem!

Aside: Human Pose Estimation

Represent pose as a set of 14 joint positions:

Left / right foot
Left / right knee
Left / right hip
Left / right shoulder
Left / right elbow
Left / right hand
Neck
Head top

Johnson and Everingham, "Clustered Pose and Nonlinear Appearance Models for Human Pose Estimation", BMVC 2010

Aside: Human Pose Estimation



Vector: 4096

Left foot: (x, y)

Right foot: (x, y)

…

Head top: (x, y)

Toshev and Szegedy, “DeepPose: Human Pose Estimation via Deep Neural Networks”, CVPR 2014

Aside: Human Pose Estimation



Toshev and Szegedy, "DeepPose: Human Pose Estimation via Deep Neural Networks", CVPR 2014

Object Detection



GRASS, CAT, TREE, SKY

CAT

DOG, DOG, CAT

DOG, DOG, CAT

No objects, just pixels

Single Object

Multiple Object

Object Detection: Impact of Deep Learning



Object Detection as Regression?



CAT: (x, y, w, h)



DOG: (x, y, w, h)
DOG: (x, y, w, h)
CAT: (x, y, w, h)



DUCK: (x, y, w, h)
DUCK: (x, y, w, h)
....

Object Detection as Regression?

Each image needs a different number of outputs!



CAT: (x, y, w, h)

4 numbers



DOG: (x, y, w, h)
DOG: (x, y, w, h)
CAT: (x, y, w, h)

16 numbers



DUCK: (x, y, w, h)
DUCK: (x, y, w, h)
....

Many numbers!

Object Detection as Classification: Sliding Window

Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background



Dog? NO
Cat? NO
Background? YES

Object Detection as Classification: Sliding Window

Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background





Dog? YES
Cat? NO
Background? NO

Object Detection as Classification: Sliding Window

Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background



Dog? YES
Cat? NO
Background? NO

Object Detection as Classification: Sliding Window

Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background



Dog? NO
Cat? YES
Background? NO

Object Detection as Classification: Sliding Window

Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background



Dog? NO
Cat? YES
Background? NO

Problem: Need to apply CNN to huge number of locations and scales, very computationally expensive!

Region Proposals

- Find “blobby” image regions that are likely to contain objects
- Relatively fast to run; e.g. Selective Search gives 1000 region proposals in a few seconds on CPU



Alexe et al, “Measuring the objectness of image windows”, TPAMI 2012
Uijlings et al, “Selective Search for Object Recognition”, IJCV 2013
Cheng et al, “BING: Binarized normed gradients for objectness estimation at 300fps”, CVPR 2014
Zitnick and Dollar, “Edge boxes: Locating object proposals from edges”, ECCV 2014

R-CNN



Input image

Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; source. Reproduced with permission.

R-CNN



Regions of Interest (RoI) from a proposal method (~2k)

Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; source. Reproduced with permission.

R-CNN



Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.

R-CNN



Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; source. Reproduced with permission.

R-CNN



Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](). Reproduced with permission.

R-CNN



Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; source. Reproduced with permission.

R-CNN: Problems

- Ad hoc training objectives
  - Fine-tune network with softmax classifier (log loss)
  - Train post-hoc linear SVMs (hinge loss)
  - Train post-hoc bounding-box regressions (least squares)
- Training is slow (84h), takes a lot of disk space
- Inference (detection) is slow
  - 47s / image with VGG16 [Simonyan & Zisserman. ICLR15]
  - Fixed by SPP-net [He et al. ECCV14]



Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.

Fast R-CNN

Input image

Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015.

Fast R-CNN



Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

Fast R-CNN

Regions of Interest (RoIs) from a proposal method



Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

Fast R-CNN



Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

Fast R-CNN



Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

Fast R-CNN



Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; source. Reproduced with permission.

Fast R-CNN (Training)



Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

Fast R-CNN (Training)



Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; source. Reproduced with permission.

R-CNN vs SPP vs Fast R-CNN





Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.
He et al, “Spatial pyramid pooling in deep convolutional networks for visual recognition”, ECCV 2014
Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015

R-CNN vs SPP vs Fast R-CNN





Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.
He et al, "Spatial pyramid pooling in deep convolutional networks for visual recognition", ECCV 2014
Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015

Faster R-CNN:

Make CNN do proposals!

Insert **Region Proposal Network (RPN)** to predict proposals from features

Jointly train with 4 losses:

1. RPN classify object / not object
2. RPN regress box coordinates
3. Final classification score (object classes)
4. Final box coordinates



Ren et al, "Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks", NIPS 2015

Faster R-CNN:

Make CNN do proposals!



Still many computations are not shared for RCNN-like methods



Region-based fully convolutional network (R-FCN)



Fully connected layers are replaced by average pooling

Region-based fully convolutional network (R-FCN)



$$\boxed{k = 3}$$

Region-based fully convolutional network (R-FCN)



Region-based fully convolutional network (R-FCN)



Region-based fully convolutional network (R-FCN)

Table 1: Methodologies of *region-based* detectors using **ResNet-101** [9].

	R-CNN [7]	Faster R-CNN [19, 9]	R-FCN [ours]
depth of shared convolutional subnetwork	0	91	101
depth of RoI-wise subnetwork	101	10	**0**

Feature pyramid network (FPN)



(a) Featurized image pyramid (b) Single feature map (c) Pyramidal feature hierarchy (d) Feature Pyramid Network (e) Similar Structure with (d)

- a) hand-engineered features
- b) Alexnet-like
- c) Multiscale prediction (e.g. ssd)
- d) Feature pyramid network
- e) U-Net

Feature pyramid network (FPN)



Detection without Proposals: YOLO / SSD

Input image
3 x H x W



Divide image into grid
7 x 7

Image a set of **base boxes** centered at each grid cell
Here B = 3

Within each grid cell:

- Regress from each of the B base boxes to a final box with 5 numbers: (dx, dy, dh, dw, confidence)
- Predict scores for each of C classes (including background as a class)

Output:
7 x 7 x (5 * B + C)

Redmon et al, "You Only Look Once: Unified, Real-Time Object Detection", CVPR 2016
Liu et al, "SSD: Single-Shot MultiBox Detector", ECCV 2016

Detection without Proposals: YOLO / SSD

Go from input image to tensor of scores with one big convolutional network!

Input image
3 x H x W



Divide image into grid
7 x 7

Image a set of **base boxes** centered at each grid cell
Here B = 3

Within each grid cell:

- Regress from each of the B base boxes to a final box with 5 numbers: (dx, dy, dh, dw, confidence)
- Predict scores for each of C classes (including background as a class)

Output:
7 x 7 x (5 * B + C)

Redmon et al, “You Only Look Once: Unified, Real-Time Object Detection”, CVPR 2016
Liu et al, “SSD: Single-Shot MultiBox Detector”, ECCV 2016

Focal loss



Figure 1. We propose a novel loss we term the *Focal Loss* that adds a factor $(1 - p_t)^\gamma$ to the standard cross entropy criterion. Setting $\gamma > 0$ reduces the relative loss for well-classified examples ($p_t > .5$), putting more focus on hard, misclassified examples. As our experiments will demonstrate, the proposed focal loss enables training highly accurate dense object detectors in the presence of vast numbers of easy background examples.

RetinaNet



Figure 3. The one-stage **RetinaNet** network architecture uses a Feature Pyramid Network (FPN) [20] backbone on top of a feedforward ResNet architecture [16] (a) to generate a rich, multi-scale convolutional feature pyramid (b). To this backbone RetinaNet attaches two subnetworks, one for classifying anchor boxes (c) and one for regressing from anchor boxes to ground-truth object boxes (d). The network design is intentionally simple, which enables this work to focus on a novel focal loss function that eliminates the accuracy gap between our one-stage detector and state-of-the-art two-stage detectors like Faster R-CNN with FPN [20] while running at faster speeds.

RetinaNet

	backbone	AP	AP_{50}	AP_{75}	AP_S	AP_M	AP_L
Two-stage methods							
Faster R-CNN+++ [16]	ResNet-101-C4	34.9	55.7	37.4	15.6	38.7	50.9
Faster R-CNN w FPN [20]	ResNet-101-FPN	36.2	59.1	39.0	18.2	39.0	48.2
Faster R-CNN by G-RMI [17]	Inception-ResNet-v2 [34]	34.7	55.5	36.7	13.5	38.1	52.0
Faster R-CNN w TDM [32]	Inception-ResNet-v2-TDM	36.8	57.7	39.2	16.2	39.8	**52.1**
One-stage methods							
YOLOv2 [27]	DarkNet-19 [27]	21.6	44.0	19.2	5.0	22.4	35.5
SSD513 [22, 9]	ResNet-101-SSD	31.2	50.4	33.3	10.2	34.5	49.8
DSSD513 [9]	ResNet-101-DSSD	33.2	53.3	35.2	13.0	35.4	51.1
RetinaNet (ours)	ResNet-101-FPN	39.1	59.1	42.3	21.8	42.7	50.2
RetinaNet (ours)	ResNeXt-101-FPN	**40.8**	**61.1**	**44.1**	**24.1**	**44.2**	51.2

Precision and recall

- Precision and recall are important metrics to evaluate classification models.
- They are particularly useful when the dataset is imbalanced.
  - i.e., one class has significantly more samples than another class
- These metrics give a better understanding of model performance compared to accuracy.

Confusion Matrix

- A confusion matrix is a table that helps to visualize the performance of a classification model.
- It shows the actual and predicted classes.
- The confusion matrix consists of four elements: True Positive (TP), True Negative (TN), False Positive (FP), and False Negative (FN).

Precision

Definition (Precision)

Precision is the ratio of correctly predicted positive instances to the total predicted positive instances. It is also known as Positive Predictive Value (PPV).

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FP}}$$

Recall

Definition (Recall)

Recall is the ratio of correctly predicted positive instances to the total actual positive instances. It is also known as Sensitivity, Hit Rate, or True Positive Rate (TPR).

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN}}$$

Mean Average Precision (mAP)

- mAP is a widely used evaluation metric for object detection tasks.
- It measures both precision (how many predicted objects are actually objects) and recall (how many objects are detected by the model).
- Average precision (AP) is computed for each class and then averaged to obtain mAP.

Intersection over Union (IoU)

- IoU is a measure of the overlap between the predicted bounding box and the ground truth bounding box.
- IoU ranges from 0 (no overlap) to 1 (perfect overlap).
- A higher IoU threshold requires tighter overlap between predicted and ground truth bounding boxes.

mAP@0.5:0.95

- mAP@0.5:0.95 evaluates the model's performance across a range of IoU thresholds.
- It computes the AP at IoU thresholds from 0.5 to 0.95 with a step of 0.05.
- The final mAP@0.5:0.95 is the average of the AP values computed at each IoU threshold.
- This metric provides a better understanding of the model's performance at various levels of bounding box overlap.

Object Detection: Lots of variables ...

Base Network
VGG16
ResNet-101
Inception V2
Inception V3
Inception
ResNet
MobileNet

Object Detection architecture
Faster R-CNN
R-FCN
SSD

Image Size
 # Region Proposals
...

Takeaways
Faster R-CNN is slower but more accurate

SSD is much faster but not as accurate

Huang et al, "Speed/accuracy trade-offs for modern convolutional object detectors", CVPR 2017

R-FCN: Dai et al, "R-FCN: Object Detection via Region-based Fully Convolutional Networks", NIPS 2016
Inception-V2: Ioffe and Szegedy, "Batch Normalization: Accelerating Deep Network Training by Reducing Internal Covariate Shift", ICML 2015
Inception V3: Szegedy et al, "Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision", arXiv 2016
Inception ResNet: Szegedy et al, "Inception-V4, Inception-ResNet and the Impact of Residual Connections on Learning", arXiv 2016
MobileNet: Howard et al, "Efficient Convolutional Neural Networks for Mobile Vision Applications", arXiv 2017

Instance Segmentation



GRASS, CAT, TREE, SKY



CAT



DOG, DOG, CAT



DOG, DOG, CAT

No objects, just pixels

Single Object

Multiple Object

Mask R-CNN



He et al, "Mask R-CNN", arXiv 2017

Mask R-CNN: Very Good Results!



He et al, “Mask R-CNN”, arXiv 2017

Mask R-CNN
Also does pose



He et al, "Mask R-CNN", arXiv 2017

Mask R-CNN
Also does pose

He et al, “Mask R-CNN”, arXiv 2017