Previously...

- Identification/Decision trees
- Random forests
- Law of Large Number
- Asymptotic equipartition (AEP) and typical sequences

This time

- Joint typical sequences
- Covering and Packing Lemmas
- Channel coding setup
- Channel coding rate
- Channel capacity
- Channel Coding Theorem

Jointly typical sequences

For a pair of sequences x^N and y^N , we say that they are jointly typical if

$$2^{-N(H(X,Y)+\epsilon)} \le p(x^N, y^N) \le 2^{-N(H(X,Y)-\epsilon)}$$

and x^N and y^N themselves are typical

As in the single sequence case,

- Any sequence pair drawing from a joint source p(x, y) is essentially jointly typical
- There are $\sim 2^{NH(X,Y)}$ jointly typical sequences



 Given sequences X^N and Y^N independently drawn from discrete memoryless sources p(x) and p(y)



- Given sequences X^N and Y^N independently drawn from discrete memoryless sources p(x) and p(y)
- What is the probability that X^N and Y^N are jointly typical?



- Given sequences X^N and Y^N independently drawn from discrete memoryless sources p(x) and p(y)
- What is the probability that X^N and Y^N are jointly typical?





- Given sequences X^N and Y^N independently drawn from discrete memoryless sources p(x) and p(y)
- What is the probability that X^N and Y^N are jointly typical?



- Given sequences X^N and Y^N independently drawn from discrete memoryless sources p(x) and p(y)
- What is the probability that X^N and Y^N are jointly typical?



- Given sequences X^N and Y^N independently drawn from discrete memoryless sources p(x) and p(y)
- What is the probability that X^N and Y^N are jointly typical?

$$Pr((X^{N}, Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)})$$

$$= \sum_{\{(x^{N}, y^{N}) \mid (x^{N}, y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}\}} p(x^{N}, y^{N})$$

$$= \sum_{\{(x^{N}, y^{N}) \mid (x^{N}, y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}\}} p(x^{N}) p(y^{N})$$

$$\leq \sum_{\{(x^{N}, y^{N}) \mid (x^{N}, y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}\}} 2^{-N(H(X) - \epsilon)} 2^{-N(H(Y) - \epsilon)} p(y) \longrightarrow$$

$$\leq 2^{-N(I(X; Y) - 3\epsilon)}$$

- Given sequences X^N and Y^N independently drawn from discrete memoryless sources p(x) and p(y)
- What is the probability that X^N and Y^N are jointly typical?



- Given sequences X^N and Y^N independently drawn from discrete memoryless sources p(x) and p(y)
- What is the probability that X^N and Y^N are jointly typical?



- Given sequences X^N and Y^N independently drawn from discrete memoryless sources p(x) and p(y)
- What is the probability that X^N and Y^N are jointly typical?

• Instead of drawing one Y^N sequences, let us draw M of them

- Instead of drawing one Y^N sequences, let us draw M of them
- The probability of any of the sequence to be jointly typical with X^N is bounded by

 $Pr(Any one of M Y^N jointly typical with X^N)$

- Instead of drawing one Y^N sequences, let us draw M of them
- The probability of any of the sequence to be jointly typical with X^N is bounded by

 $Pr(Any one of M Y^N \text{ jointly typical with } X^N)$ $\leq MPr((X^N, Y^N) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^N(X, Y))$ $\leq M2^{-N(I(X;Y)-3\epsilon)}$

- Instead of drawing one Y^N sequences, let us draw M of them
- The probability of any of the sequence to be jointly typical with X^N is bounded by

 $Pr(\text{Any one of } M Y^{N} \text{ jointly typical with } X^{N}) \\ \leq MPr((X^{N}, Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{N}(X, Y)) \\ \leq M2^{-N(I(X;Y)-3\epsilon)} \\ \leq 2^{-N(I(X;Y)-R-3\epsilon)}$

where $2^{NR} = M$

- Instead of drawing one Y^N sequences, let us draw M of them
- The probability of any of the sequence to be jointly typical with X^N is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & Pr(\text{Any one of } M \ Y^N \text{ jointly typical with } X^N) \\ \leq & MPr((X^N, Y^N) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^N(X, Y)) \\ \leq & M2^{-N(I(X;Y)-3\epsilon)} \\ \leq & 2^{-N(I(X;Y)-R-3\epsilon)} \to 0 \text{ as } N \to \infty \text{ and } I(X;Y) - 3\epsilon > R, \end{aligned}$$

where $2^{NR} = M$

- Instead of drawing one Y^N sequences, let us draw M of them
- The probability of any of the sequence to be jointly typical with X^N is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & Pr(\text{Any one of } M \ Y^N \text{ jointly typical with } X^N) \\ \leq & MPr((X^N, Y^N) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^N(X, Y)) \\ \leq & M2^{-N(I(X;Y)-3\epsilon)} \\ \leq & 2^{-N(I(X;Y)-R-3\epsilon)} \to 0 \text{ as } N \to \infty \text{ and } I(X;Y) - 3\epsilon > R, \end{aligned}$$

where $2^{NR} = M$

Since ϵ can be made arbitrarily small as N increases, as long as I(X; Y) > R, we can find a sufficiently large N so that we can "pack" the $M Y^N$ with X^N and none of the Y^N will be jointly typical with X^N

- Again, draw $M(=2^{NR}) Y^N$ sequences
- Under what condition that at least one Y^N jointly typical with X^N ?

- Again, draw $M(=2^{NR}) Y^N$ sequences
- Under what condition that at least one Y^N jointly typical with X^N ?

 $Pr((X^N(m), Y^N) \notin \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(X, Y) \text{ for all } m)$

- Again, draw $M(=2^{NR}) Y^N$ sequences
- Under what condition that at least one Y^N jointly typical with X^N ?

$$Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \notin \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(X, Y) \text{ for all } m)$$
$$= \prod_{m=1}^{M} Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \notin \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))$$

- Again, draw $M(=2^{NR}) Y^N$ sequences
- Under what condition that at least one Y^N jointly typical with X^N ?

$$Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \notin \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(X, Y) \text{ for all } m)$$

= $\prod_{m=1}^{M} Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \notin \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))$
= $\prod_{m=1}^{M} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X)) \right]$

- Again, draw $M(=2^{NR}) Y^N$ sequences
- Under what condition that at least one Y^N jointly typical with X^N ?

$$Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \notin \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(X, Y) \text{ for all } m)$$

$$= \prod_{m=1}^{M} Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \notin \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))$$

$$= \prod_{m=1}^{M} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X)) \right]$$

$$\leq (1 - (1 - \delta)2^{-N(I(Y;X) + 3\epsilon)})^{M}$$

- Again, draw $M(=2^{NR}) Y^N$ sequences
- Under what condition that at least one Y^N jointly typical with X^N ?

$$Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \notin \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(X, Y) \text{ for all } m) = \prod_{m=1}^{M} Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \notin \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X)) = \prod_{m=1}^{M} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{$$

- Again, draw $M(=2^{NR}) Y^N$ sequences
- Under what condition that at least one Y^N jointly typical with X^N ?

$$Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \notin \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(X, Y) \text{ for all } m)$$

$$= \prod_{m=1}^{M} Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \notin \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X))$$

$$= \prod_{m=1}^{M} \left[1 - Pr((X^{N}(m), Y^{N}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}^{(N)}(Y, X)) \right]$$

$$\leq \exp(-(1 - \delta)2^{-N(I(Y;X) + 3\epsilon)})$$

$$\leq \exp(-(1 - \delta)2^{-N(I(Y;X) + 3\epsilon)}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } R > I(X; Y)$$

Summary of packing lemma and covering lemma

Packing Lemma

We can "pack" $M = 2^{NR}$ (with R < I(X; Y)) x^N together without being jointly typical with y^N

Covering Lemma

We can "cover" with $M = 2^{NR}$ (with R > I(X; Y)) x^N such that at least one x^N being jointly typical with y^N

Remark

- Packing lemma is useful in the proof of channel coding theorem
- Covering lemma is useful in the proof of rate-distortion theorem

We will look into the above applications later in this course

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

$$\rightarrow p(y|x) \rightarrow$$

 As the name suggests, the output of a discrete memoryless channel (DMS) only depends on the current input (thus no memoryless). And both its input X and output Y are characterized by the conditional probability p(y|x)

$$\rightarrow p(y|x) \rightarrow$$

- As the name suggests, the output of a discrete memoryless channel (DMS) only depends on the current input (thus no memoryless). And both its input X and output Y are characterized by the conditional probability p(y|x)
- Given an input sequence $x^N = x_1, \dots, x_N$, the probability of getting an output sequence $y^N = y_1, \dots, y_N$ is $p(y^N | x^N) = \prod_{i=1}^N p(y_i | x_i)$

$$p(m) \xrightarrow{m} p(y|x) \xrightarrow{}$$

- As the name suggests, the output of a discrete memoryless channel (DMS) only depends on the current input (thus no memoryless). And both its input X and output Y are characterized by the conditional probability p(y|x)
- Given an input sequence $x^N = x_1, \dots, x_N$, the probability of getting an output sequence $y^N = y_1, \dots, y_N$ is $p(y^N | x^N) = \prod_{i=1}^N p(y_i | x_i)$
- Given a message m (say generated from a distribution p(m))

$$p(m) \xrightarrow{m} Encoder \xrightarrow{} p(y|x) \xrightarrow{} Decoder$$

- As the name suggests, the output of a discrete memoryless channel (DMS) only depends on the current input (thus no memoryless). And both its input X and output Y are characterized by the conditional probability p(y|x)
- Given an input sequence $x^N = x_1, \dots, x_N$, the probability of getting an output sequence $y^N = y_1, \dots, y_N$ is $p(y^N | x^N) = \prod_{i=1}^N p(y_i | x_i)$
- Given a message m (say generated from a distribution p(m))
 - We will have an encoder decoder pair

$$p(m) \xrightarrow{m} Encoder \xrightarrow{x^N} p(y|x) \longrightarrow Decoder$$

- As the name suggests, the output of a discrete memoryless channel (DMS) only depends on the current input (thus no memoryless). And both its input X and output Y are characterized by the conditional probability p(y|x)
- Given an input sequence $x^N = x_1, \dots, x_N$, the probability of getting an output sequence $y^N = y_1, \dots, y_N$ is $p(y^N | x^N) = \prod_{i=1}^N p(y_i | x_i)$
- Given a message m (say generated from a distribution p(m))
 - We will have an encoder decoder pair
 - The encoder will convert m to x^N suitable for transmission

$$p(m) \xrightarrow{m} Encoder \xrightarrow{x^{N}} p(y|x) \xrightarrow{y^{N}} Decoder \xrightarrow{} \hat{m}$$

- As the name suggests, the output of a discrete memoryless channel (DMS) only depends on the current input (thus no memoryless). And both its input X and output Y are characterized by the conditional probability p(y|x)
- Given an input sequence $x^N = x_1, \dots, x_N$, the probability of getting an output sequence $y^N = y_1, \dots, y_N$ is $p(y^N | x^N) = \prod_{i=1}^N p(y_i | x_i)$
- Given a message m (say generated from a distribution p(m))
 - We will have an encoder decoder pair
 - The encoder will convert m to x^N suitable for transmission
 - Decoder will try to extracted the message from the channel output y^N

Channel coding rate

$$p(m) \xrightarrow{m} Encoder \xrightarrow{x^{N}} p(y|x) \xrightarrow{y^{N}} Decoder \xrightarrow{} \hat{m}$$

The channel coding rate is defined as number of bits of message can be sent per channel use

Channel coding rate

$$p(m) \xrightarrow{m} Encoder \xrightarrow{x^N} p(y|x) \xrightarrow{y^N} Decoder \xrightarrow{} \hat{m}$$

The channel coding rate is defined as number of bits of message can be sent per channel use

• Since there is H(M) bits of information for each message M sent

Channel coding rate

$$p(m) \xrightarrow{m} Encoder \xrightarrow{x^{N}} p(y|x) \xrightarrow{y^{N}} Decoder \xrightarrow{} \hat{m}$$

The channel coding rate is defined as number of bits of message can be sent per channel use

• Since there is H(M) bits of information for each message M sent

•
$$R = \frac{H(M)}{N}$$

Channel capacity

• By Shannon's channel coding theorem, the capacity of the channel (will be shown later) is given by

$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y)$$
• By Shannon's channel coding theorem, the capacity of the channel (will be shown later) is given by

$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(X;Y)$$

• This means that as long as the rate R is less than the capacity C, we can find encoder-decoder pair such that the decoding error $(Pr(\hat{M} \neq M))$ can be made arbitrarily small

• By Shannon's channel coding theorem, the capacity of the channel (will be shown later) is given by

$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(X;Y)$$

- This means that as long as the rate R is less than the capacity C, we can find encoder-decoder pair such that the decoding error $(Pr(\hat{M} \neq M))$ can be made arbitrarily small
- On the other hand, if *R* is larger than the capacity *C*, no matter how we try, it is impossible to recontruct *m* error free

• By Shannon's channel coding theorem, the capacity of the channel (will be shown later) is given by

$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y)$$

- This means that as long as the rate R is less than the capacity C, we can find encoder-decoder pair such that the decoding error $(Pr(\hat{M} \neq M))$ can be made arbitrarily small
- On the other hand, if *R* is larger than the capacity *C*, no matter how we try, it is impossible to recontruct *m* error free
- An intuitive interpretation is that the amount of information can be passed through a channel is just mutual information between the input and output. And since we can pick the statistics of our input, we may make our choice wisely and maximize the mutual information. And the maximum that we can attain is the capacity

$$p(m) \xrightarrow{m} Encoder? \xrightarrow{\times^{N}} p(y|x) \xrightarrow{y^{N}} Decoder? \xrightarrow{} \hat{m}$$

=

Image: A matrix and a matrix

э

$$p(m) \xrightarrow{m} Encoder \xrightarrow{X_{\Delta}^{N}} D/A \xrightarrow{x^{N}} p(y|x) \xrightarrow{y^{N}} A/D \xrightarrow{y_{\Delta}^{N}} Decoder \xrightarrow{} \hat{m}$$

• For continuous channel, we can create a "pseudo" discrete channel using A/D and D/A converters

$$p(m) \xrightarrow{m} Encoder \xrightarrow{\times_{\Delta}^{N}} D/A \xrightarrow{\times_{\Delta}^{N}} p(y|x) \xrightarrow{y^{N}} A/D \xrightarrow{y^{N}_{\Delta}} Decoder \xrightarrow{} \hat{m}$$

- For continuous channel, we can create a "pseudo" discrete channel using A/D and D/A converters
- The maximum information that can pass through the channel will then be

$$C_{\Delta} = \max_{p(x)} I(X_{\Delta}; Y_{\Delta}) = \max_{p(x)} H(Y_{\Delta}) - H(Y_{\Delta}|X_{\Delta})$$
$$\approx \max_{p(x)} h(Y) - \log \Delta - h(Y|X_{\Delta}) + \log \Delta$$
$$\approx \max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Y|X) = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y)$$

$$p(m) \xrightarrow{m} Encoder \xrightarrow{\times_{\Delta}^{N}} D/A \xrightarrow{\times^{N}} p(y|x) \xrightarrow{y^{N}} A/D \xrightarrow{y_{\Delta}^{N}} Decoder \xrightarrow{} \hat{m}$$

- For continuous channel, we can create a "pseudo" discrete channel using A/D and D/A converters
- The maximum information that can pass through the channel will then be

$$C_{\Delta} = \max_{p(x)} I(X_{\Delta}; Y_{\Delta}) = \max_{p(x)} H(Y_{\Delta}) - H(Y_{\Delta}|X_{\Delta})$$
$$\approx \max_{p(x)} h(Y) - \log \Delta - h(Y|X_{\Delta}) + \log \Delta$$
$$\approx \max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Y|X) = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y)$$

• As $\Delta \to 0$, $C = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y)$. So expression is completely the same as the discrete case

S. Cheng (OU-Tulsa)

Example: Binary symmetric channel

• Both input and output are binary (say take value 0 or 1)

Example: Binary symmetric channel

- Both input and output are binary (say take value 0 or 1)
- The channel is symmetric in the sense that

$$p_{Y|X}(1|0) = p_{Y|X}(0|1) = p$$

and

$$p_{Y|X}(0|0) = p_{Y|X}(1|1) = 1 - p,$$

where p is known to be the cross-over probability

Example: Binary symmetric channel

- Both input and output are binary (say take value 0 or 1)
- The channel is symmetric in the sense that

$$p_{Y|X}(1|0) = p_{Y|X}(0|1) = p$$

and

$$p_{Y|X}(0|0) = p_{Y|X}(1|1) = 1 - p,$$

where p is known to be the cross-over probability

$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(X;Y)$$

Example: Binary symmetric channel

- Both input and output are binary (say take value 0 or 1)
- The channel is symmetric in the sense that

$$p_{Y|X}(1|0) = p_{Y|X}(0|1) = p$$

and

$$p_{Y|X}(0|0) = p_{Y|X}(1|1) = 1 - p,$$

where p is known to be the cross-over probability

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \max_{p(x)} I(X;Y) \ &= \max_{p(x)} H(Y) - H(Y|X) \end{aligned}$$

Example: Binary symmetric channel

- Both input and output are binary (say take value 0 or 1)
- The channel is symmetric in the sense that

$$p_{Y|X}(1|0) = p_{Y|X}(0|1) = p$$

and

$$p_{Y|X}(0|0) = p_{Y|X}(1|1) = 1 - p,$$

where p is known to be the cross-over probability

$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y)$$

= $\max_{p(x)} H(Y) - H(Y|X)$
= $\max_{p(x)} H(Y) - H(p)$

Example: Binary symmetric channel

- Both input and output are binary (say take value 0 or 1)
- The channel is symmetric in the sense that

$$p_{Y|X}(1|0) = p_{Y|X}(0|1) = p$$

and

$$p_{Y|X}(0|0) = p_{Y|X}(1|1) = 1 - p,$$

where p is known to be the cross-over probability

$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y)$$

= $\max_{p(x)} H(Y) - H(Y|X)$
= $\max_{p(x)} H(Y) - H(p) = 1 - H(p)$

Example: Gaussian channel

$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y)$$

Example: Gaussian channel

$$C = \max_{\substack{p(x)\\p(x)}} I(X; Y)$$
$$= \max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Y|X)$$

$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y)$$

= $\max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Y|X) = \max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(X + Z|X)$

$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y)$$

= $\max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Y|X) = \max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(X + Z|X)$
= $\max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Z|X)$

$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y)$$

= $\max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Y|X) = \max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(X + Z|X)$
= $\max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Z|X) = \max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Z)$

$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y)$$

= $\max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Y|X) = \max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(X + Z|X)$
= $\max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Z|X) = \max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Z)$
= $\max_{p(x)} h(Y) - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi e \sigma_Z^2$

$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y)$$

= $\max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Y|X) = \max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(X + Z|X)$
= $\max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Z|X) = \max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Z)$
= $\max_{p(x)} h(Y) - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi e \sigma_Z^2 = \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi e \sigma_Y^2 - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi e \sigma_Z^2$

$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y)$$

= $\max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Y|X) = \max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(X + Z|X)$
= $\max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Z|X) = \max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Z)$
= $\max_{p(x)} h(Y) - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi e \sigma_Z^2 = \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi e \sigma_Y^2 - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi e \sigma_Z^2$
= $\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Z^2}{\sigma_Z^2} = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_X^2}{\sigma_Z^2}\right)$

The channel output Y = X + Z, where Z is a zero-mean Gaussian noise (independent of the input X)

$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y)$$

= $\max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Y|X) = \max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(X + Z|X)$
= $\max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Z|X) = \max_{p(x)} h(Y) - h(Z)$
= $\max_{p(x)} h(Y) - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi e \sigma_Z^2 = \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi e \sigma_Y^2 - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi e \sigma_Z^2$
= $\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Z^2}{\sigma_Z^2} = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_X^2}{\sigma_Z^2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + SNR),$

where SNR is the signal to noise ratio

Consider an AWGN channel with bandwidth W and two-sided power spectrum density of $N_{\rm 0}/2$

Consider an AWGN channel with bandwidth W and two-sided power spectrum density of $N_{\rm 0}/2$

• From the result of Nyquist and Shannon, a signal of bandwidth *W* will need to at least 2*W* samples per second to be fully reconstructed

Consider an AWGN channel with bandwidth W and two-sided power spectrum density of $N_{\rm 0}/2$

- From the result of Nyquist and Shannon, a signal of bandwidth *W* will need to at least 2*W* samples per second to be fully reconstructed
- Per each second, 2W samples needed to recover the signal

Consider an AWGN channel with bandwidth W and two-sided power spectrum density of $N_{\rm 0}/2$

- From the result of Nyquist and Shannon, a signal of bandwidth *W* will need to at least 2*W* samples per second to be fully reconstructed
- Per each second, 2W samples needed to recover the signal
- Per each second, 2W degrees of freedom exists ⇒ 2W parallel Gaussian channel per second

Consider an AWGN channel with bandwidth W and two-sided power spectrum density of $N_0/2$

- From the result of Nyquist and Shannon, a signal of bandwidth *W* will need to at least 2*W* samples per second to be fully reconstructed
- Per each second, 2W samples needed to recover the signal
- Per each second, 2W degrees of freedom exists ⇒ 2W parallel Gaussian channel per second

• Given
$$N_0$$
, $SNR = \frac{\sigma_X^2}{WN_0} = \frac{P}{WN_0}$

Consider an AWGN channel with bandwidth W and two-sided power spectrum density of $N_0/2$

- From the result of Nyquist and Shannon, a signal of bandwidth *W* will need to at least 2*W* samples per second to be fully reconstructed
- Per each second, 2W samples needed to recover the signal
- Per each second, 2W degrees of freedom exists ⇒ 2W parallel Gaussian channel per second

• Given N₀,
$$SNR = rac{\sigma_X^2}{WN_0} = rac{P}{WN_0}$$

$$C = 2W rac{1}{2} \log(1 + SNR) = W \log\left(1 + rac{P}{WN_0}
ight)$$

Codebook construction

Forward statement

If the code rate $R < C = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y)$, according to the Channel Coding Theorem, we should be able to find a code with encoding mapping $\mathbf{c} : m \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{NR}\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^N$ and the error probability of transmitting any message $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{NR}\}$, $p_e(m)$, is arbitrarily small

Codebook construction

Forward statement

If the code rate $R < C = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y)$, according to the Channel Coding Theorem, we should be able to find a code with encoding mapping $\mathbf{c} : m \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{NR}\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^N$ and the error probability of transmitting any message $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{NR}\}$, $p_e(m)$, is arbitrarily small

• The main tool of the proof is random coding

Codebook construction

Forward statement

If the code rate $R < C = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y)$, according to the Channel Coding Theorem, we should be able to find a code with encoding mapping $\mathbf{c} : m \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{NR}\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^N$ and the error probability of transmitting any message $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{NR}\}$, $p_e(m)$, is arbitrarily small

- The main tool of the proof is random coding
- Let p*(x) = arg max_{p(x)} I(X; Y). Generate codewords from the DMS p*(x) by sampling 2ⁿ length-n sequences from the source:

$$\mathbf{c}(1) = (x_1(1), x_2(1), \cdots, x_N(1))$$
$$\mathbf{c}(2) = (x_1(2), x_2(2), \cdots, x_N(2))$$
$$\cdots$$
$$\mathbf{c}(2^{NR}) = (x_1(2^{NR}), x_2(2^{NR}), \cdots, x_N(2^{NR}))$$

Encoding and decoding

The encoding and decoding procedures will be as follows.

Encoding and decoding

The encoding and decoding procedures will be as follows.

Encoding

For input message m, output $\mathbf{c}(m) = (x_1(m), x_2(m), \cdots, x_N(m))$

Encoding and decoding

The encoding and decoding procedures will be as follows.

Encoding

For input message m, output $\mathbf{c}(m) = (x_1(m), x_2(m), \cdots, x_N(m))$

Decoding

Upon receiving sequence $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N)$, pick the sequence $\mathbf{c}(m)$ from $\{\mathbf{c}(1), \dots, \mathbf{c}(2^{NR})\}$ such that $(\mathbf{c}(m), \mathbf{y})$ are jointly typical. That is $p_{X^N, Y^N}(\mathbf{c}(m), \mathbf{y}) \sim 2^{-nH(X, Y)}$. If no such $\mathbf{c}(m)$ exists or more than one such sequence exist, announce error. Otherwise output the decoded message as m

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ● ● ●

Average performance

Without loss of generality, let us assume M = 1, decoding error occurs when:

Average performance

Without loss of generality, let us assume M = 1, decoding error occurs when:

```
 P_1 = Pr(\mathbf{C}(1), \mathbf{Y}) \notin A_{\epsilon}^N(X, Y) )
```
Without loss of generality, let us assume M = 1, decoding error occurs when:

• $P_1 = Pr(\mathbf{C}(1), \mathbf{Y}) \notin A_{\epsilon}^N(X, Y)$ • $P_2 : \exists M' \neq 1 \text{ and } (\mathbf{c}(M'), \mathbf{Y}) \in A_{\epsilon}^N(X, Y)$ Thus $P(error) = P(error|M = 1) < P_1 + P_2$

Without loss of generality, let us assume M = 1, decoding error occurs when:

- $P_1 = Pr(\mathbf{C}(1), \mathbf{Y}) \notin A_{\epsilon}^N(X, Y))$
- 2 $P_2: \exists M' \neq 1 \text{ and } (\mathbf{c}(M'), \mathbf{Y}) \in A_{\epsilon}^N(X, Y)$

Thus $P(error) = P(error|M = 1) \le P_1 + P_2$

• Since $(\mathbf{C}(1), \mathbf{Y})$ is coming out of the joint source $X, Y, P_1 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$

Without loss of generality, let us assume M = 1, decoding error occurs when:

$$P_1 = Pr(\mathbf{C}(1), \mathbf{Y}) \notin A_{\epsilon}^N(X, Y))$$

2
$$P_2: \exists M' \neq 1 \text{ and } (\mathbf{c}(M'), \mathbf{Y}) \in A_{\epsilon}^N(X, Y)$$

Thus $P(error) = P(error|M = 1) \le P_1 + P_2$

- Since $(\mathbf{C}(1), \mathbf{Y})$ is coming out of the joint source $X, Y, P_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- 2 Note that C(M') and Y are independent and thus by Packing lemma,

$$P_2 \le 2^{-N(I(X;Y)-R+3\epsilon)} \tag{1}$$

Without loss of generality, let us assume M = 1, decoding error occurs when:

$$P_1 = Pr(\mathbf{C}(1), \mathbf{Y}) \notin A_{\epsilon}^N(X, Y))$$

2
$$P_2: \exists M' \neq 1 \text{ and } (\mathbf{c}(M'), \mathbf{Y}) \in A_{\epsilon}^N(X, Y)$$

Thus $P(error) = P(error|M = 1) \le P_1 + P_2$

- Since $(\mathbf{C}(1), \mathbf{Y})$ is coming out of the joint source $X, Y, P_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- 2 Note that C(M') and Y are independent and thus by Packing lemma,

$$P_2 \le 2^{-N(I(X;Y)-R+3\epsilon)} \tag{1}$$

Since ϵ can be made arbitrarily small as N increase, as long as I(X; Y) > R, we can make P_2 arbitrarily small also given a sufficiently large N

• We show that the average error over all random codes can be made arbitrarily small

- We show that the average error over all random codes can be made arbitrarily small
- But we really *want* is to find a code $\mathbf{c}^*(\cdot)$ and ensure that $Pr(error|\mathbf{c}^*, m) \rightarrow 0$ no matter what message *m* is sent

- We show that the average error over all random codes can be made arbitrarily small
- But we really *want* is to find a code $\mathbf{c}^*(\cdot)$ and ensure that $Pr(error|\mathbf{c}^*, m) \rightarrow 0$ no matter what message *m* is sent
- Let say for a finite N, the average error is δ. Then, we should be able to find a code c* such that it has average error at least equal to δ

- We show that the average error over all random codes can be made arbitrarily small
- But we really *want* is to find a code $\mathbf{c}^*(\cdot)$ and ensure that $Pr(error|\mathbf{c}^*, m) \rightarrow 0$ no matter what message *m* is sent
- Let say for a finite N, the average error is δ. Then, we should be able to find a code c* such that it has average error at least equal to δ
- Without loss of generality and for simplicity, assume that all messages are equally likely $Pr(error|\mathbf{c}^*) = \frac{1}{2^{NR}} \sum_m Pr(error|\mathbf{c}^*, m) \le \delta$

- We show that the average error over all random codes can be made arbitrarily small
- But we really *want* is to find a code $\mathbf{c}^*(\cdot)$ and ensure that $Pr(error|\mathbf{c}^*, m) \rightarrow 0$ no matter what message *m* is sent
- Let say for a finite N, the average error is δ. Then, we should be able to find a code c* such that it has average error at least equal to δ
- Without loss of generality and for simplicity, assume that all messages are equally likely $Pr(error|\mathbf{c}^*) = \frac{1}{2^{NR}} \sum_m Pr(error|\mathbf{c}^*, m) \le \delta$
- If we discard the worse half of the codewords, for any remaining message m, we have Pr(error | c*, m) ≤ 2Pr(error | c*) ≤ 2δ → 0 as N → ∞

- We show that the average error over all random codes can be made arbitrarily small
- But we really *want* is to find a code $\mathbf{c}^*(\cdot)$ and ensure that $Pr(error|\mathbf{c}^*, m) \rightarrow 0$ no matter what message *m* is sent
- Let say for a finite N, the average error is δ. Then, we should be able to find a code c* such that it has average error at least equal to δ
- Without loss of generality and for simplicity, assume that all messages are equally likely $Pr(error|\mathbf{c}^*) = \frac{1}{2^{NR}} \sum_m Pr(error|\mathbf{c}^*, m) \le \delta$
- If we discard the worse half of the codewords, for any remaining message m, we have Pr(error | c*, m) ≤ 2Pr(error | c*) ≤ 2δ → 0 as N → ∞
- Even though the rate reduces from N to $R \frac{1}{N}$ (number of messages from $2^{NR} \rightarrow 2^{NR-1}$). But we can still make the final rate arbitrarily close to the capacity as $N \rightarrow \infty$

< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >